#	Final RFP Section	Final RFP Sub-Section/Clause /Provision	Page Number	Subject/Title	Industry Comment/Question	DOE Response
1	Commitment Letter and Section L, Att. L- 2, Key	L.15(c) "I certify that will perform in the proposed position for a minimum of three years beginning on the date of the Notice to Proceed (NTP) is issued"  Att. L-2 "Availability Date and Period of Commitment: (Insert [month/date/year] for availability date; period of commitment shall be reflected from date the Notice to Proceed (NTP) is issued for the Transition Period of the contract forward).	L-22 and L-40	Key Personnel Availability Date	Key personnel sign letters of commitment attesting to their availability to perform full time beginning at NTP. Therefore, as no actual NTP month/date/year is knowable and full-time performance is committed to beginning at NTP, may we insert "Date of NTP/3 years minimum" as the beginning date of full-time availability in the resumes to be consistent and fully satisfy the Att. L-2 requirement? If not, please clarify what alternative actual date is acceptable to meet this requirement.	Revised Attachment L-2 to delete the "availability date," as the Letter of Commitment is the requirement needed and is clearly defined. This change is made in Amendment 001.
2	Phase 1 Decommissioni ng Plan for the WVDP Rev 2, and RFP Section C 9.1		7-23 Figure 7-6		in the Phase 1 Decommissioning Plan, in Section 7.0 Planned Decommissioning Activities (in particular page 7-23 Figure 7-6), it is noted that to accomplish the WMA 1 Excavation the area will be surrounded by a sheet pile wall and soil/cement-bentonite barrier wall. RFP Section C 9.1 is silent to these walls. Have these walls been constructed, or should Offerors anticipate needing to install these walls as part of this contract?	At this time, no subsurface wall or associate infrastructure has been constructed. It is up to the Offeror to determine the activities and sequence needed to define their best technical approach to complete the entirety of the Phase 1B scope based on the information that has been provided within the solicitation and on the procurement website.
3		(4) In addition to the electronic submission of the Offeror's proposal via FedConnect, the Offeror shall submit the required number of paper copies of each proposal volume as indicated below. The content in the paper and electronic copies shall be identical.	L-7	Proposal Format	Please allow paper copies of each proposal volume to be delivered to the DDE within seven (7) calendar days following the proposal due date, or alternately, postmarked on the proposal submission date, to allow Offerors to effectively coordinate additional administrative requirements.	DOE is extending the due date for receipt of all proposal copies, paper and electronic, by one week. This change is made in Amendment 001.
4		<ol> <li>Sealed offers in original and (See Section L.11) copies for furnishing the supplies or services in the Schedule will be received at the place specified in Item 8, or if hand carried, in the depository located in until 1600 ES local time 02/26/2024 (Hour) (Date)</li> </ol>	1	Proposal Due Date	Please consider a minimum two week extension of the proposal due date. Questions provided as part of Industry Comments include requests for information such as drawings, characterization data, etc. that are required for all Offerors to prepare a strategy for below-grade demolition and TRU waste disposition. Because this information is available to the incumbent, an extension ensures fair consideration among all Offerors.	DOE is extending the due date for receipt of all proposal copies, paper and electronic, by one week. This change is made in Amendment 001.
5	C.9.2.2.2	C.9.2.2.2 Remote Handled Waste Facility, LSA 3, LSA 4, and associated facilities Concrete Slabs and Gravel Pads The Contractor shall perform removal of concrete floor slabs, gravel pads, and underlying soils to a minimum depth of 2 feet to meet requirements in the Phase 1 DP. The Contractor shall remove, process, package, transport, and dispose of at offsite waste disposal facilities the following concrete floor slabs, associated grout and/or gravel cover materials, gravel pads, and underlying soils. The construction and dimensions of the slabs and pads are provided in Attachment C-1; slabs/pads without known thicknesses are assumed to vary between six inches and one foot (scoping under future Task Orders will include dimensions for waste-volume estimates).		Subsurface Structures	Please provide documentation of the subsurface structures thickness in order for us to consider details in our strategy.	The Phase 1B End State Model – MPPB Below Grade Structures was placed in the library to provide context to the Main Plant Process Building (MPPB) underground.  The General Purpose Cell (GPC) served as a holding and transfer point for spent nuclear fuel (SNF) segmented into pieces in the Process Mechanical Cell (PMC) above which were destined for dissolution in the Chemical Process Cell (CPC), and for receipt of the leached SNF pieces after dissolution was completed and prior to their removal through the Scrap Removal Room (SRR) for waste burial. The floor of the GPC is 25 feet below grade. The GPC is constructed of reinforced concrete and lined with stainless steel. The wall thicknesses vary between 3 feet 6 inches and 5 feet 2 inches. The floor thickness varies from 1 foot 6 inches to 3 feet 6 inches. The ceiling is 5 feet 6 inches thick. All below grade cells have been filled, to the extent practicable, with flowable fill grout.
6		"the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1)"	L-29	Characterization	Has any additional characterization been performed since the Main Plant Process Building and Vittrification Facility Characterization Data Packages were provided in the February 13, 2019 letter? If so, please provide these reports.	The following documents will be added to the Documents Library to provide additional characterization data and/or supplemental information:  Contract No. DE-EM0001529, Main Plant Process Building and Vitrification Facility Characterization Data Packages, September 8, 2020.  Contract No. DE-EM0001529, Main Plant Process Building and Vitrification Facility Characterization Data Packages, November 29, 2022.  Contract No. DE-EM0001529, Main Plant Process Building and Vitrification Facility Characterization Data Packages, March 1, 2023.

8	L.17 Factor 3, (b)	*the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1)"  C.9.1.4 Concrete Floor Slabs and Foundations in WMA 1  The Contractor shall remove and disposition all concrete floor slabs and associated foundations to meet requirements in the Phase 1 DP. The Contractor shall remove,	L-29	Decommissioning Plan Below-Grade	Are there any additional D&D plans, programs, or addendums since the Phase 1 Decommissioning Plan, Revision 2, dated December 2009? If so, please provide so that the incumbents, who have access to that information, do not have an unfair advantage.  Please provide a list of additives, such as magnatite for increased concrete density, known to be mixed in the concrete for the below-grade structures?	Yes. The suite of documents that were published following the Record of Decision: Final Env. Impact Statement for Decommissioning and or Long-Term Stewardship at WVDP (WVDP Phase 1B Document Library) conceptualized the goals and approach to the WVDP Phase 1 end state. These documents and their proposed actions were determined consistent with the preferred alternative published within the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). These documents include 1) Phase 1 Decommissioning Plan for the WVDP Revision 2, 2) Phase 1 Characterization Sampling and Analysis Plan for the West Valley Demonstration Project Revision 1, 3) Phase 1 Final Status Survey Plan for the WVDP Revision 1. Each of the above referenced documents are contained within the same documents library. The Phase 1 Decommissioning Plan for the WVDP Revision 2 stated that it would be supplemented by more detailed work plans for demolition of major facilities such as the Main Plant Process Building and Vitrification Facility. In 2016 WVDP published the first revision of the Decommissioning and Demolition Work Plan (WVDP-S85, Revision 4) which remains current. This document was passed to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for review and comment. The document is currently inactive in ADAMS. WVDP-S86 was placed in the Phase 1B Document Library.  Detailed information regarding additives utilized in the below ground concrete walls is not available for inclusion in the Documents Library.
		process, package, characterize, transport, and dispose of at offsite waste disposal facilities the following concrete floor slabs, foundations, remaining walls, associated grout and/or gravel cover materials, steel floor plating, and contaminated underlying soils:	C-1-72	Structures		
9	C.1.1.2	"The FRS Conceptual Demolition Design Plan shall include: scope and objectives; demolition approach for the facility; pre-mobilization activities; site preparation activities; waste handling and loading; post-demolition activities; management approach; recommended project delivery strategy and schedule; and preliminary sketches and layouts.the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1)"	C-1-14	Characterization Surveys	Has hazardous material (e.g., asbestos, lead, etc.) characterization surveys been conducted of the Fuel Receiving and Storage Facility? Provide if already performed and available.	In late 2019, FRS facility was abated and cleared for ACM except for the fuel pool and pump basin located midway in the north operating aisle.  The Documents Library will be updated with the following reference to demonstrate the ACM removal from the FRS superstructure components:  Amended Asbestos Work Notification for Asbestos Removal in the Fuel Receiving and Storage Building, October 14, 2019.
10		https://www.emcbc.doe.gov/SEB/Files/WVDPPhase1B/DocLib/Phase%201B%20End%20State%20Model%20- %20Main%20Plant%20Process%20Building%20Below%20Grade%20Structure_Combined_R1.pdf		Drawings	Within the WVDP Phase 1B Documents Library (https://www.emcbc.doe.gov/SEB/WVDPPhase1B/DocLib) DOE provided a document, Phase 1B End State Model – MPPB Below Grade Structures which shows a Drawing List (pp. 8-38). Please provide all referenced drawings, in native format, to ensure Offeror consistency and scoring.	Attachment C-1 is as complete as possible with the information available.  The Phase 1B End State Model – MPPB Below Grade Structures was placed in the Documents Library to provide context to the Main Plant Process Building (MPPB) underground and DOE considers the provided information adequate for WVDP Phase 1B proposal purposes.
1:		C.9.1.8 WMA 1 Soil Removal The Contractor shall perform demolition, removal, waste processing, packaging, offsite transportation and disposal of at and below grade portions of the MPPB and VF in WMA1, along with the excavation of surface and subsurface soils in WMA 1 as described in the Phase 1 DP. Additional deeper excavation will be required if soil concentrations exceed the subsurface soil clean-up goals established in the Phase 1 DP. The Contractor shall perform remedial action surveys to support the completion of the WMA 1 soil excavation project consistent with requirements in the CSAP, FSS Plans, and all applicable requirements under RCRA closure plans.	C-1-74	Soil Contamination	Please provide soil characterization, bore hole location sample results, and groundwater sampling reports to support understanding of the extent of soil contamination below subsurface structures in WMAs 1 and 2.	The following references will be added to the WVDP Phase 1B Documents Library: WVDP-220, 298, 346, 494.  WVDP-220; 1994 MPPB Sampling: Six locations beneath the MPPB (Lower Warm Aisle (2), Cell Access Aisle (1), EMOA (1),  Uranium Load Out (1), and Product Packaging and Shipping (1)) and One location beneath the FRS.  WVDP-298 - 1997 Sampling: No sampling below MPPB.  WVDP-346 - 1998 MPPB Sampling: Two locations beneath the MPPB (Uranium Load Out (1), and Product Packaging and Shipping (1)).  WVDP-494 - 2008 MPPB Sampling: Five locations beneath the MPPB (Ram Equipment Room (2), Cell Access Aisle (1), Uranium Load Out (1), and Product Packaging and Shipping (1)).
12	L.18 (d)	The cost proposal shall be provided by major cost elements in accordance with FAR 15.408, Table 15-2.	L-32	L-6 Price Workbook	Please confirm that the completion and submittal of Section 1-6 Price Workbook provided with the solicitation meets this requirement and the offerors are not requried to submit additional cost files to meet the FAR 15.408, Table 15-2 requirements.	In addition to completing the Section L-6 Price Workbook, the Offeror shall provide additional supporting documentation per the requirements in L.18 Paragraph (c) Transition Period and (d) Cost Elements . In addition, the Offeror shall provide supporting documentation for: 1) proposed Key Personnel costs per the requirements in L.18 Paragraph (b) 4(i) Key Personnel Information and 2) any additional proposed indirect rates per the requirements in L.18 Paragraph (b)(5).
13	Attachment L- 6a		NA	L-6 Price Workbook	Please confirm that the offeror may appropriately add to column A labeled "Cost Element" to separately account for mulitple JV partners or team members (i.e. duplicate rows 63 through 119 as needed).	Confirmed.

14	L.17(B)	L.17(B) Management Approach. The Offeror shall fully describe its management approach to effectively negotiate, manage, implement, and execute multiple simultaneously performed Task Orders for the Master IDIQ PWS and to partner with DOE and the Regulators to achieve desired End States. Further, the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1); and its strategy to address final disposition of the site TRU waste inventory.	L-28	West Valley TRU waste	Does the West Valley Waste inventory include Defense TRU waste. If so, Please provide the volumes, types of containers, and characterization data, including accceptaable knowledge data for this waste inventory. Please also provide the data and technical basis supporting a legal/regularory TRU waste determination. Please also provide the volume, types of containers, and characterization data for waste determined as Non-Defense, or greater than Class C inventories, including accceptable knowledge for these inventories.	The West Valley Waste Inventory does not contain defense TRU. Under the Waste Isolation Pilot Project Land Withdrawal Act, as amended, and the West Valley Demonstration Project Act (WVDPA), as amended, it cannot be disposed at WIPP. The container inventory, volumes, types, and characterization will be provided after contract award, during Transition. Since the WVDP TRU cannot be disposed at WIPP, the WIPP Central Characterization Program (CCP) has not reviewed WVDP data.  Publicly available information can be found in:  1. Link will be added to the Documents Library; Department of Energy, Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste, DOE/EIS-3075 (Washington, D.C.: January 2016).  20.16).  20.16).  21. Allow of the Documents Library; General Accounting Office, NUCLEAR WASTE Congressional Action Needed to Clarify a Disposal Option at West Valley Site in New York, GAO-21-115 (Washington, D.C.: January 2021).
15	L.17(B)	L.17(B) Management Approach. The Offeror shall fully describe its management approach to effectively negotiate, manage, implement, and execute multiple simultaneously performed Task Orders for the Master IDIQ PWS and to partner with DOE and the Regulators to achieve desired End States. Further, the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1); and its strategy to address final disposition of the site TRU waste inventory.	L-28	West Valley TRU waste	Within the GTCC determined waste, have specific containers been characterized as TRU, or has the blanket designation been applied to the entire volume? Provide basis for current waste classification and characterization method implemented. Which specific packages have been determined to be TRU/WIPP certified?	Specific containers are characterized as TRU. For the purposes of the WVDPA, as amended, the term "transuranic waste" means material contaminated with elements which have an atomic number greater than 92, including neptunium, plutonium, americium, and vurium, and which are in concentrations greater than 10 nanocuries per gram, or in such other concentrations as the Nuclear Regulatory Commission may prescribe to protect the public health and safety.  Characterization techniques include a combination of direct and indirect methods, not limited to direct sampling, coupon analysis, in-situ gamma spectroscopy, and dose-to-curie modeling. No packages are WIPP certified.  For additional clarification: When Public Law 96-368 was enacted on October 1, 1980, the waste classification framework of Classes A, B, and C of 10 CFR 61.55 did not exist in its current form. The WVDPA remains relevant and specifically defines several terms for the purposes of the Act in Section 6. "Commission" means the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and "transuranic waste" means material contaminated with elements which have an atomic number greater than 92, including neptunium, plutonium, mericium, and curium, and which are in concentrations greater than 10 nanocuries per gram, or in such other concentrations as the [Nuclear Regulatory] Commission may prescribe to protect the public health and safety. The last part of the sentence is an opening to addresses changes in the definition by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission that occurred in 1983.  The NRC's current definition of "transuranic" can be substituted for the last part of the WVDPA definition from over 40 years ago. The NRC established an upper limit of 100 nanocuries per gram of alpha emitting transuranic nuclides with half-lives greater than five (5) years as acceptable for near-surface land disposal.  When the WVDP ships low-level radioactive areas a state to disposal facilities, it considers the waste acceptance criteria (WAC) and definitions relevant to each facility. A DOE landfill ev
16	L.17(B)	L.17(B) Management Approach. The Offeror shall fully describe its management approach to effectively negotiate, manage, implement, and execute multiple simultaneously performed Task Orders for the Master IDIQ PWS and to partner with DOE and the Regulators to achieve desired End States. Further, the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1); and its strategy to address final disposition of the site TRU waste inventory.	L-28	West Valley TRU waste	Please provide data and technical basis supporting a legal/regulatory TRU waste determination?	See DOE Responses #14 and #15.
17	C.4.9	C.4.9 WMA 5 Operation, Maintenance and Renovation The Contractor shall operate, monitor, and maintain Remote Handled Waste Facility (RHWP), Lag Storage Addition 3 (LSA 3) and Lag Storage Addition 4 (LSA 4) LLW and TRU waste storage facilities. The Contractor shall maintain and operate the RHWF and supporting waste-storage and sorting facilities (i.e., LSA 3, LSA 4, the Shipping Depot, Container Sorting and Packaging Facility (ESPF), and Waste Packaging Area (WPA)) for waste processing and packaging, until all waste is dispositioned. Once a pathway for the disposal for WVDP-TRU C-1-59 (GTCC-like) waste is identified, the RWHF will be used to perform the safe, cost effective and efficient characterization, processing, packaging, transportation, and disposal of all remaining legacy waste.	C-1-58	RHWF	Please provide the condition of the Remote Handling Waste Facility (RHWF). Has the facility and affiliated support systems including ventilation systems been maintained for current use? Do the facility safety basis and permits support restart of the existing facility?	The RWHF RHWF is an active facility currently used to process legacy wastes for segregation, decontamination, repackaging, and shipping.  RWHF RHWF support systems are active and maintained with all required permits in place.
18	L.17(B)	L.17(B) Management Approach. The Offeror shall fully describe its management approach to effectively negotiate, manage, implement, and execute multiple simultaneously performed Task Orders for the Master IDIQ PWS and to partner with DOE and the Regulators to achieve desired End States. Further, the Offeror shall provide its strategy that describes how it will effectively and efficiently manage and achieve below grade demolition of the main plant structures (Section C.9.1); and its strategy to address final disposition of the site TRU waste inventory.	L-28	West Valley TRU waste	Please provide the complete list of Acceptable Knowledge (AK) characterization data for the GTCC/TRU waste.	The container inventory, volumes, types, and characterization will be provided after contract award, during Transition.  Since the WVDP TRU cannot be disposed at WIPP, the WIPP Central Characterization Program has not reviewed WVDP data.

1	C.2.2.5	C.2.2.5 Waste ManagementThe Contractor, to the extent necessary to comply with regulatory and DOE requirements, shall operate and maintain a compliant Waste Management Program in accordance with DOE Order 435.1 and DOE Manual 435.1-1, Radioactive Waste Management Manual. The Contractor shall submit a Waste Management Program Plan, (see Section J. Attachment 1-4, Contract Deliverables). The Waste Management Plan should reflect an integrated overarching approach to waste management that minimizes generation, maximizes recycling and reuse, and moves the site toward elimination of waste processing and storage as early as possible.	C-1-21	Waste Generator Planning	In accordance with DOE M 435.1-1 - Waste Generator Planning, Chapter 1 states that sites and generators are required to develop a lifecycle plan and identify waste with no path to disposal. Please provide any developed lifecycle plan and a summary that includes volume, weight, container type(s) for any identified waste with no path to disposal.	The lifecycle planning for waste with no path to disposal is documented in the fiscal year updates to WVDP-299 West Valley Demonstration Project Site Treatment Plan. The West Valley Demonstration Project Site Treatment Plan is available in the Documents Library in the information links section, see public reading room link.  The container inventory, volumes, types, and characterization will be provided after contract award, during Transition.
	C.2.2.5	C.2.2.5 Waste Management The Contractor shall provide safe, compliant, and cost-effective management, storage, treatment, transport, and/or disposal of waste (i.e., sanitary and industrial waste, Low-Level Waste (ILW), Mixed Low-Level Waste (MLW), RCfA waste, ISCA waste, and WVDP-TRU (GTCC-like) waste) and materials that may be contaminated with radiological and/of rehazdrous constituents as a result of past operations, as well as newly generated waste. The Contractor, to the extent necessary to comply with regulatory and DOF requirements, shall operate and maintain a compliant Waste Management Program in accordance with DOE Order 435.1 and DOE Manual 435.1-1, Radioactive Waste Management Manual. The Contractor shall submit a Waste Management Program Plan, (see Section J, Attachment 1-4, Contract Deliverables). The Waste Management Program Plan, (see Section J, Attachment 1-4, Contract Deliverables). The Waste Management Plan should reflect an integrated overarching approach to waste management that minimizes generation, maximizes recycling and reuse, and moves the site toward elimination of waste processing and storage as early as possible. Waste is considered disposed of when it has been shipped to and accepted for final disposition at a properly licensed and permitted disposal site. The Contractor shall avoid generating waste from any operations within this PWS with no pathway for disposal. The Contractor shall aske all reasonable actions to minimize waste generation and to preclude the generation of WVDP-TRU (GTCC-like) wastes.  The Contractor shall coordinate with disposal facilities to ensure their data needs and requirements for waste acceptance are met. All waste management activities shall meter the appropriate waste acceptance criteria with certification, as appropriate, for approved waste disposition/disposal options. The Contractor shall coordinate with DGF M 455.1-1 requirements, shall prepare exemption requests for use of non-DGE treatment, storage, and disposal facilities, which includes lifecycl	C-1-21	West Valley TRU waste	Per DOE M 435.1-1, transuranic (TRU) waste shall be characterized using direct and indirect methods, and the characterization shall be documented in sufficient detail to ensure safe management and compliance. Please provide the method(s) that were used to characterize the West Valley TRU waste inventory.	See DOE Response to Question #15.
	C.9	C.G. FACILITY DISPOSITION The desired outcome is the safe and regulatory compilant completion of the D&D activities described in the Phase 1 DP, including the demolition of the MPPB. Phase 2 activities may be incorporated as regulatory evaluation is completed. All waste, debris, and excavated soil generated during these demolition and soil excavation activities shall be processed, packaged, characterized, transported, and disposed of at offsite disposal facilities. Exhibit C-1, Facility Description and Status and the reference library provides information regarding facilities, Exhibit C-1, Facility Description and Status and the reference library provides information regarding facility type (e.g., HAZCAT 3, etc.), current permitting status, and facility construction.  The near-grade to subgrade facility demolition and soil excavation scope is organized in geographical areas, referred to as Waste Management Areas (WMA) as defined in the Phase 1 DP. WMAs are organized around common facilities such as the MPPB and VF, the LLRWTS, the Waste Tank Farm, disposal areas, and other site support areas. (The near-grade portions of the MPPB include all structures or building remnants remaining after the completion of the current Phase 1A contract.) Consistent with and in addition to the detailed scope and requirements setswhere in this Section C, the Contractor shall complete any deactivation, decontamination, and decommissioning required for the safe demolition of the remaining portions of the MPPB, VF, and associated anciliary facilities. This shall include the Contractor's preparation of demolition plan(s) and excavation plan(s) that describe methods to accomplish the removal, packaging, characterization, transport, and offsite disposal of the following waste streams (see Section J. Attachment 1-4, Contract Deliverables):  • Near-grade and subsurface so the within the proposed WMA 1 excavation, including the source area of the North Plateau Groundwater Plume, underground piping, and foundation piling underlying the MPPB	C-1-67	МРРВ	As part of the Phase 1A scope of work, will the near- grade portions of the MPPB footprint be capped with asphalt or some other barrier?	On-site soil stockpiles (uncontaminated to minimally contaminated) have been approved for Phase 1A use in site operations. The Offeror may assume a graded soil cap with a geotechnical barrier will be installed at the end of Phase 1A.
2	J-3	Attachment J-3 - Government Furnished Services/Items	N/A	GFSI	Will the current business systems and processes be available to the Contractor? If yes, please provide a detailed description.	The West Valley Demonstration Project uses several business systems and processes which include, but are not limited to, Primavera P6, Cobra, and Costpoint which integrate resources, activities, and changes. Data is processed through several tools including, FTE tool, VAR tool, PCRS, PCard Tool, WBS Dictionary, EAC Developer, and CHestor which is ultimately fed through MS Access front end reporting. There are a few primary systems tables used by Project Controls Systems/Databases. Finally, there are reports from the EAC Developer that can be used to run reports for validation purposes. All FTE Tool reports are run from the data saved by the users. The EAC Developer data is integrated into Cobra for official month EAC reporting. This data can also be integrated back into P6 if there is ever a need to do so.  It is up to the offeror to determine the best case for business systems and processes.

23	C.1.3	C.1.3 Outgoing Transition and Closeout  The desired outcome is a seamless transition of full responsibility for WVDP facilities and activities to a successor contractor.  As authorized by Task Order, the Contractor shall perform those activities necessary to transition the work under this Contract to a successor contractor upon contract expiration, which includes:  (a) Cooperate with the incoming contractor to ensure all work for which the Contractor is responsible under the Contract continues without disruption in an efficient, effective, and safe manner; and  (b) Provide for an orderly transfer of resources, responsibilities, and accountability from the Contractor.  The Contractor shall provide a plan for closeout activities at least 60 days prior to Contract expiration or when directed by the CO. The Contractor shall also cooperate with and support the successor contractor's phase-in plan.	C-1-15	Transition	Please provide a copy of the Transition Out Plan.	The Transition (Out) Plan is currently not available and will be provided to the successful Offeror as needed.
24	N/A	"Main Plant Process Building (MPPB) and Vitrification Facility Characterization Data Packages" https://www.emcbc.doe.gov/SEB/Files/WVDPPhase1B/DocLib/Main%20Plant%20P rocess%20Building%20(MPPB)%20and%20Vitrification%20Facility%20Characterizat ion%20Data%20Packages.pdf	N/A	Characterization	At the beginning of Phase 18, are the contamination levels expected to be the same as the levels indicated in the data packages (i.e. Radiological Controls survey reports and analytical data packages) referenced and requested in the DDE letter dated January 16, 2019 in the Documents Library?	Yes. Additional survey data and validation packages were added to the library; see the three documents listed in the DOE Response to Comment #6.
25	L.11	f.4	L-8	Exception for financial statements and annual reports	Will DOE consider providing an exception to the financial statements and annual reports being provided within the 1-inch margins and allowing offerors to provide as they are prepared? These documents are typically prepared without these considerations in mind and then have to be manipulated to fit within the margins. This can tend to shrink the text making them difficult to read. This request aligns with the RFP exemption on page numbering.	Financial statements and annual reports may be submitted as prepared. This will be clarified in Amendment 001.
26	M.7	n/a	M.7	Basis for award	It was recently announced that DDE would be rolling out Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a means of evaluating proposals. Is the WVDP PIB proposal anticipated to be evaluated via AI? If so, how is AI going to be used? Is it only with respect to compliance or will it be used to subjectively judge proposal qualities? How will AI perceive and interpret graphics? What implications should we be aware of as we prepare our proposals?	Artificial Intelligence (AI) will not be used for the evaluation of the WVDP Phase 1B proposals.
27	A.9 & L.19	A.9/L.19	1/L-34	Extension	The FedConnect Support Center is open Monday through Friday, and consequently there is a backlog of calls on Mondays. The proposal is currently due on Monday, which means that the upload will likely occur during the weekend or Monday. We respectfully request that the proposal due date be moved from Monday (February 26) to Wednesday (February 28) or Thursday (February 29) to facilitate access to the FedConnect Support Center if needed.	There have been no issues in the past with a Monday due date for proposals. However, DOE is allowing a one week extension as consideration of this request. This change is made in Amendment 001.
28	L.18	b.5	L-31	Historical labor fringe rate	DOE provides the FY22 historical site fringe rate of 50.0% for reference in development of the labor cost profile used in the excel Attachment L-6(f). Should we assume this is the most current site fringe rate available?	Yes.
29	L.18	g	L-32	Accounting system information	The next to the last sentence states, "This data must also be provided for each member of the joint venture partners." It is unclear if this sentence refers to the previous sentence or the whole paragraph. Does DOE intend for the offeror to provide the cognizant government audit agency for each partner or the cost accounting explanation, accounting system description and the audit agency? Is it intended that joint venture members provide one or more of the listed items as well or is that only for the offeror?	The Offeror shall provide both the cognizant government audit agency and cost accounting system information for each member of a Joint Venture.
30	L.15	L15	L-21	Page limitations	We assume that the letters of commitment are not part of the resume and not included in the 4-page limit for the resume. Please verify that this assumption is correct.	Correct. Per Section L., Attachment L-2:  "Letter of Commitment: (A signed letter of commitment should be attached to each resume - use the letter of commitment format specified in Section L.15(c). Page limits for resumes do not include letters of commitment.)"

31	Att. L-3	Note	L-44	Format	The note on page L-44 states that we may amend the format. We assume that it is permissible to remove the parenthetical phrase in italics on pg. L-41 and the two instructional notes (pg. L-42 and L-44). These do not appear to be part of the form but instructions in using the form. Is this assumption correct?	The parenthetical note at the top of the L-3 form may be removed as well as the final note on page L.44. The Section L-2 word document provided as a template has been revised.
32	Att. J-4; Att. L-7, Table J 4	Table J-4.1; Table J-4-1	J-4-1 to J- 4-44; L-7- 3 to L-7- 16	Deliverables	Would DOE verify that the only deliverables due during transition are those listed in attachment L-7? Any periodic required deliverables listed in the master IDIQ contract deliverables list will be the responsibility of the incumbent contractor during the transition period.	
33	B.8	B.8.1 and 2	B-6	Fee reduction	Section B.8.1 calls for an annual evaluation of small business performance. Section B.8.2 provides for fee reduction associated with this review. Annual review does not seem to align with the multi-year nature of end state contracting, seems too punitive and can drive procurement decisions that are not in the best interest of the government and taxpayers. For instance, multi-year task orders by the nature of the task could have SB procurements near the end versus in the first year. Some task orders and phases of task order execution may lend themselves to a higher SB amount of contracting while others may not. We recommend that DOE consider changing this to 5 years before this fee reduction is enforced, which aligns with some previous DOE IDIQ end state contracts.	The goals are established at the Task Order level, as separate subcontracting goals (under the Master Small Business Subcontracting Plan). As stated in the Section B provision, the evaluation is based on "progress" towards meeting the goals.  The requirements are in line with FAR requirements (including reporting within the Electronic Subcontracting Reporting System (eSRS)).
34	B.12	c	B-10	Performance Management Fee	Section B.12.c seems to establish a subjective award fee protocol for non-CPAF tasks. Most of the items listed appear to be subjective in nature. DOE's stated preference is that the task orders be incentive fee and fixed fee task orders. This clause seems to indicate that DOE will move away from this preference to a hybrid for these task orders with a portion of fee being allocated to subjective criteria (i.e., similar to award fee). Is it DOE's intent that all task orders be hybrid or CPAF? Would DOE please clarify? Why does DOE think this is hybrid is necessary when they have the option to exercise an award fee task order? Also, will the PMI percentage be a part of total evaluated price and will it be used int in the best value evaluation?	
35	B.12	c and g	B-10 and B-11	PMI fee	Would DOE clarify if in the unilaterally PMI fee determination based on the areas identified in section c, there will be an annual PEMP or similar documents that provides weighting for the areas and any rollover actions or will the identified areas be weighted equally for the duration of the contract? Does DOE plant to conduct routine performance reviews to identify areas where DOE wants improved?	If the PMI is used in a task order, the details will be established and documented in post award space.
36	M.2, M.6	Note following M.2.d and M.6.b	M-4 and M-7 to M- 8	Subcriterion weighting	The note on in section M.2.d seems to provide some sub-criteria description on weighting on key personnel, the rationale, oral problem scenarios and non-required key personnel. However, M.6.b states "Areas within an evaluation factor are not sub-factors and will not be individually rated, but will be considered in the overall evaluation for that particular evaluation factor." These two sections seem to conflict. Would DOE please clarify.	There will only be one overall rating for each Factor (1, 2 and 3).

37	H.5	d.1.i	H-5	Equivalent Pay	Would DOE please clarify if this clause means that no	During the transition period, if incumbent employees are transitioned into equivalent or substantially equivalent positions, the
					matter what position an incumbent is placed into, they must be paid their previous salary for at least 1 year? For instance, an engineer, who is currently in a position that will be eliminated in the new organization, may be given a non-engineering position for which they are qualified, but the pay range for the new position is less than their previous engineering position.	contractor is required to pay the incumbent employees equivalent base pay for the first year. If a-substantially equivalent position has been eliminated or otherwise filled, and the incumbent employee is qualified for a different position, then the contractor can make an offer of employment to the incumbent employee for the different position. Under these circumstances the new contractor is not required to continue to pay "equivalent base pay" but extend a salary offer based on the different position pay scale.
38	L.11	f.5	L-9	Volume page numbers	Will DOE consider adding an exception to the sequential volume page numbering for JV/LLC members and subcontractor cost proposals? These documents are typically provided in a separate sealed package submitted as part of or attached to volume III. These documents typically carry section sequential page numbers and not sequential volume page numbers. The logistics for providing volume page numbers is significant in a 45-day proposal with hard copy delivery. We believe this change will improve our ability to provide a high quality deliverable without impact to the evaluation.	
39	L.11	(n)	L-11	Multiple offerors	With the announcement of the Jacobs-Amentum merger, and if the merger goes through before the proposal award, will this clause get enacted and disqualify competitors with Jacobs or Amentum on them?	The clause is in effect, as incorporated. DOE cannot provide feedback regarding hypothetical situations.
40	SF 330	Section 9	1	Due Date	Due to the information being requested that is required to prepare the strategy for below grade demolition and TRU waste/GCCC disposition to prevent an unfair advantage to the incumbent will DOE grant an extension to March 11, 2024.	DOE is allowing a one week extension as consideration of this request. This change is made in Amendment 001.
41	L	L11.c4	L-7	Hard copies	Will DOE allow bidders to deliver hard copies within one week from the electronic submittal due date?	DOE is extending the due date for receipt of all proposal copies, paper and electronic, by one week. This change is made in Amendment 001.
42	С				Please provide more information about characterization of the WVDP-TRU waste.	See DOE Responses to questions #14, #15, #18.
43	EMCBC Document Library	Phase 1B End State Model for the Main Plant Processing Building	N/A	Drawings	Please provide the drawings referred to in the Phase 1B End State Model for the Main Plant Processing Building document.	See DOE Response to Comment #10.
44	L	11C(4)	L-6	Submission of Proposals	The time required to produce paper copies is significant and necessarily reduces the amount of time each team may use to develop the highest quality response to the Government's Request for Proposal. In order to ensure ample time to develop quality responses, VNS Federal Services encourages the Government to allow paper copies of each proposal volume to be delivered to the DOE within seven (7) calendar days following the proposal due date, or alternately, postmarked on the proposal submission date, to allow Offerors to effectively coordinate additional administrative requirements without impacting the quality of prepared responses.	DOE is extending the due date for receipt of all proposal copies, paper and electronic, by one week. This change is made in Amendment 001.
45	А	9	1	Solicitation	As teams are submitting requests for additional data, VNS Federal Services encourages the Government to provide a two week extension of the proposal due date to accomodate the Government's efforts to provide answers and requested data, and in concert offeror's efforts to develop the highest quality strategies in response to the Government's request for proposal.	DOE is extending the due date for receipt of all proposal copies, paper and electronic, by one week. This change is made in Amendment 001.
46	L	L.11(c)(4)	L-7	Proposal Preparation Instructions	L.11(c)(4), page L-7 provides the delivery address as 9030 Route 219 in West Valley, a secure facility with badge-only access.  To facilitate hand delivery, will DOE provide instructions such as check-in, security, and any other relevant instructions to be followed for this submission method?	The address provided in Section L is the "office complex". Delivery of proposals would not require a badge.